



Division of
**Health Care
Finance & Administration**

Health Care
Innovation Initiative

Executive Summary

Pancreatitis Episode

Corresponds with DBR and Configuration file V1.0

Updated: March 7, 2017

OVERVIEW OF A PANCREATITIS EPISODE

The pancreatitis episode revolves around patients who are diagnosed with pancreatitis. The trigger event is an inpatient admission or observation claim where the primary diagnosis is one of the defined acute or chronic pancreatitis trigger codes. In addition, an inpatient admission or observation claim with a primary diagnosis of the defined symptoms, findings, related disorders, or potential etiologies with a secondary diagnosis code from the defined acute or chronic pancreatitis diagnosis codes is also a potential trigger event. The encounter can take place in an inpatient setting, as an admission or under observation status.


All related care – such as specific imaging and testing, specific medications, and specific surgical and medical procedures – is included in the episode. The quarterback, also called the principal accountable provider or PAP, is the facility where the patient was ultimately treated. The pancreatitis episode begins on the day of the triggering hospitalization and ends 30 days after discharge.

CAPTURING SOURCES OF VALUE

Providers have multiple opportunities during a pancreatitis episode to improve the quality and cost of care. Important sources of value include the appropriate use of imaging and testing and the reduction of unnecessary readmissions. Additionally, based on the patient's clinical status and diagnosis, providers can select an appropriate site of care and, when necessary, perform surgical treatment to prevent recurrence and infection.


Updated: March 7, 2017

Illustrative Patient Journey



Updated: March 7, 2017

Potential Sources of Value



ASSIGNING ACCOUNTABILITY

The quarterback of the episode is the specific health care provider deemed to have the greatest accountability for the quality and cost of care for the patient. To state it differently, the quarterback is the provider who has the greatest ability to influence all of the health care delivered in a given episode. For the pancreatitis episode, the quarterback is the facility where the patient was ultimately treated. The contracting entity of the facility where the pancreatitis is ultimately treated will be used to identify the quarterback.

MAKING FAIR COMPARISONS

The episode model is designed to be fair to providers and incentivize best practices without penalizing providers who care for sicker patients. As such, important aspects of the model are:

Updated: March 7, 2017

- Inclusion of only the cost of services and medications that are related to the pancreatitis in calculation of episode spend.
- Exclusion of episodes when clinical circumstances create the likelihood that the case will deviate substantially from the typical care path or when claims data is likely to be incomplete.
- Risk adjusting episode spend to account for the cost of more complicated patients.

The pancreatitis episode has no pre-trigger window. During the trigger window, all services and relevant medications are included. The post-trigger window includes specific care after discharge, specific imaging and testing, specific medications, and specific surgical and medical procedures.

Some exclusions apply to any type of episode, i.e., are not specific to a pancreatitis episode. For example, an episode would be excluded if more than one payer was involved in a single episode of care, if the patient was not continuously insured by the payer during the duration of the episode, or if the patient had a discharge status of 'left against medical advice'. Examples of exclusion criteria specific to the pancreatitis episode include patients with a history of organ transplant or those diagnosed with cystic fibrosis. These patients have significantly different clinical courses that the episode does not attempt to risk adjust. Furthermore, there may be some factors with a low prevalence or significance that would make accurate risk adjustment difficult and may be used to exclude patients completely instead of adjusting their costs.

For the purposes of determining a quarterback's cost of each episode of care, the actual reimbursement for the episode will be adjusted to reflect risk factors captured in recent claims data in order to be fair to providers caring for more complicated patients. Examples of patient factors likely to lead to the risk adjustment of pancreatitis episodes include gallstones, chronic kidney disease, and malnutrition. Over time, a payer may adjust risk factors based on new data.

Updated: March 7, 2017

MEASURING QUALITY

The episode reimbursement model is designed to reward providers who deliver cost effective care AND who meet certain quality thresholds. A quarterback must meet or exceed all established benchmarks for any quality metric tied to gain sharing in order to be eligible to receive monetary rewards from the episode model. Other quality metrics may be tracked and reported for quality improvement purposes but may not be tied directly to gain sharing.

The quality metric linked to gain sharing for the pancreatitis episode is:

- **Follow-up care:** Percentage of valid episodes with follow-up care in the first 14 days of the post-trigger window (higher rate indicative of better performance).
- **Nutritional counseling:** Percentage of valid episodes with nutritional counseling during the episode window (higher rate indicative of better performance).

The quality metrics that will be tracked and reported to providers but that are not tied to gain sharing are:

- **New narcotics:** Percentage of valid episodes with a new narcotics prescription in the post-trigger window (lower rate indicative of better performance).
- **Multiple narcotics:** Percentage of valid episodes with multiple narcotics prescriptions within the post-trigger window (lower rate indicative of better performance).
- **Readmission:** Percentage of valid episodes with a relevant readmission in the post-trigger window (lower rate indicative of better performance).
- **Emergency department (ED) visit:** Percentage of valid episodes with a relevant ED visit in the post-trigger window (lower rate indicative of better performance).

Updated: March 7, 2017

- **Endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography (ERCP):** Percentage of valid episodes with an ERCP performed during the trigger window (rate provided for comparison only).
- **Cholecystectomy:** Of the valid episodes with a cholecystectomy performed, the percentage of valid episodes with the cholecystectomy performed during the trigger window (higher rate indicative of better performance).
- **Laboratory test:** Percentage of valid episodes with a relevant laboratory test in the first 14 days of the post-trigger window (rate provided for comparison only).

It is important to note that quality metrics are calculated by each payer on a per quarterback basis across all of a quarterback's episodes covered by that payer. Failure to meet all quality benchmarks tied to gain sharing will render a quarterback ineligible for gain sharing with that payer for the performance period under review.